

U.S.C. § 103(a) over Danielsson, further in view of Doyle. Finally, claims 8-10 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Danielsson in view of Holmquist.

None of the rejected claims are anticipated or rendered obvious by Danielsson for the reasons set forth in the attached Declaration of Xin Sheng Chai. In view of inventor Chai's declaration, withdrawal of the rejections based upon Danielsson is respectfully requested.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) Over Bysouth

Claims 1, 4, 6, and 11 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Bysouth.

None of the rejected claims are anticipated or rendered obvious by Bysouth for the reasons set forth in the attached Declaration of Xin Sheng Chai. In view of inventor Chai's declaration, withdrawal of the rejections based upon Bysouth is respectfully requested.

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicants submit that this claimed invention, is neither anticipated nor rendered obvious in view of the prior art references cited against this application. Applicants request the Examiner's reconsideration and the continued examination of the application, and the timely allowance of the pending claims.

Please grant any extensions of time required to enter this response and charge any additional required fees to our deposit account 06-0916.

FINNEGAN
HENDERSON
FARABOW
GARRETT &
DUNNER LLP


1300 I Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005
202.408.4000
Fax 202.408.4400
www.finnegan.com

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: October 15, 2002

By


Lori-Ann Johnson
Reg. No. 34,498

FINNEGAN
HENDERSON
FARABOW
GARRETT &
DUNNER LLP

1300 I Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005
202.408.4000
Fax 202.408.4400
www.finnegan.com